UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,105	01/26/2006	Ann Denholm	16-992P/US	9446
	26294 7590 03/25/2008 ΓAROLLI, SUNDHEIM, COVELL & TUMMINO L.L.P.		EXAMINER	
1300 EAST NINTH STREET, SUITE 1700 CLEVEVLAND, OH 44114			EDWARDS, NEWTON O	
CLEVEVLAINI	Э, ОП 44114		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/566,105	DENHOLM, ANN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	N Edwards	1794				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan						
closed in accordance with the practice under E.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) 1-10 are subject to restriction and/or e						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the construction represents the second representation and the correction represents the second representation is objected to by the Examiner  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	, ,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				

Application/Control Number: 10/566,105 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1, 2 and 10, drawn to fire retardant paper.

Group II, claim(s) 3-9, drawn to a method of making a fire retardant paper.

The special technical feature (STF) of group I is claim 1. The STF is shown in the prior art in US 4,182,681, US 3,782,475, and US 2,690,393, for example. Thus, there can be no Unity of Invention when the STF or single inventive concept is shown in the prior art. Hence, Lack of Unity is held by the Primary Examiner in accordance with PCT rule 13 and 37 CFR 1.475.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Primary Examiner Edwards at telephone number 571-272-1521.

/N Edwards/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1794